NOTICE OF CLARIFICATIONS Nº 01

INTERNATIONAL ELECTRONIC TENDER Nº 20260003/CCC/SETUR

LOWEST-PRICE PROCUREMENT FOR THE EXECUTION OF ENVIRONMENTAL REQUALIFICATION, DRAINAGE, AND URBANIZATION WORKS FOR LAGOONS IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF PARACURU, CEARÁ, UNDER AN INTEGRATED CONTRACTING REGIME.

The Ceará State Department of Tourism – SETUR/CE, through the Central Tender Commission (CCC), hereby presents the response to the request for clarification submitted by a bidder, the content of which is transcribed below:

QUESTION 01 - Absence of BDI application to item 6.1.11 (Urban Kiosk) in the ABC Curve. An analysis of the budget spreadsheet (Annex K – ABC Curve) shows that item 6.1.11 – URBAN KIOSK (CPUE 08) has identical unit prices "WITHOUT BDI" and "WITH BDI" (R$ 133,350.67), differing from the methodology applied to all other budget items, to which a BDI rate of 30.87% is applied (as exemplified by item 6.1.12 itself, whose price increases from R$ 296,674.14 to R$ 388,257.45). If this omission is confirmed, the reference budget would be underestimated by approximately

Item / Description	Unit Price Without BDI (R$)	Unit Price With BDI (R$)	Qty.	Total with error (R$)
6.1.11 — Urban Kiosk	133.350,67	133.350,67	8	1.066.805,36
Other items (e.g., 6.1.8)	362,41	474,29 (×1,3087)	—	—
6.1.11 — with 30.87% BDI	133.350,67	174.516,02	8	1.396.128,16

It is requested that the following be clarified: (i) whether the 30.87% BDI is embedded in the composition of CPUE 08, in which case explicit confirmation is requested, or whether the index was merely omitted."

RESPONSE 01: The BDI is already included in the amount of R$ 133,350.67 corresponding to composition CPUE 08.

QUESTION 02 – Item 6.1.12 (Power Supply / Lighting) — Lump-sum item without technical documentation. Item 6.1.12 – POWER SUPPLY / LIGHTING is budgeted as a fixed lump-sum item (unit "ONE," quantity 1.00), in the amount of R$ 388,257.45. However, no design documents, technical specifications, quantity takeoff sheet, or cost breakdown were found that would make it possible to identify the services, materials, and quantities considered in the calculation of this amount.

It is requested that the calculation memorandum, quantities, and technical assumptions adopted for item 6.1.12 be clarified and made available, or alternatively, that the composition be detailed, in order to enable bidders to price the item and verify its feasibility.

RESPONSE 02 - Item 6.1.12 includes a breakdown detailing the services and their respective quantities and values. Furthermore, as this is an integrated procurement process in which the basic and detailed designs are part of the scope of the contract, the detailed technical documentation shall be developed by the contractor.

QUESTION 03 – Measurement criterion by event schedule (Annex A.5) — measurement trigger. Annex A.5 – MEASUREMENT CRITERIA – EVENT SCHEDULE is limited to presenting the physical-financial percentage distribution by event/substage over the months, without, however, establishing a textual rule defining the timing and method for assessing the measurement.

Please clarify: (i) whether the measurement (and the corresponding invoicing) will only take place after the full completion of each substage indicated in the event schedule, thus characterizing measurement by completed event/milestone; or (ii) whether proportional measurement based on the quantity actually executed within each substage during the period will be permitted.

RESPONSE 03 - (i) YES, the measurement will be carried out after the full execution required for each substage of the event schedule;
(ii) NO.

QUESTION 04 – Discrepancy regarding the execution regime (measurement/payment). There appears to be a contradiction between the Bidding

Document, which defines the execution regime as INTEGRATED CONTRACTING (item 2.1), and the Draft Contract (Annex E), whose Clause Five, item 5.1, provides that "the indirect execution regime shall be carried out under a unit-price contract." These involve distinct measurement and payment frameworks, creating legal uncertainty and relating directly to Question 3.

Please clarify which execution regime prevails and, consequently, which measurement and payment criteria apply, ensuring proper consistency among the Bidding Document, Annex A.5, and the Draft Contract, in compliance with the binding nature of the solicitation documents and Article 92 in conjunction with Article 6, XXIV, of Law Nº 14,133/2021.

RESPONSE 04 - The adopted procurement model is INTEGRATED CONTRACTING, and the measurement criteria, together with the calculation records for the services performed, are clearly defined in the Bidding Documents and the draft contract.

Fortaleza, *date of the digital signature

Maria Ruth de Menezes Teles
Coordinator of the UGP CAF – SETUR - IN EXERCISE